arXiv:1603.04937v2 [math.CV] 30 Oct 2016

# On the (filled-in) Julia Sets of Orthogonal Polynomials

Jacob Stordal Christiansen[1], Christian Henriksen[2], Henrik Laurberg Pedersen[3], and Carsten Lunde Petersen[4]

[1]Lund University, Centre for Mathematical Sciences, Box 118, 22100 Lund, Sweden
[2]DTU Compute, Technical University of Denmark, Build. 303B, 2800 Kgs. Lyngby, Denmark
[3]Department of Mathematical Sciences, University of Copenhagen, Universitetsparken 5, 2100 Copenhagen, Denmark
[4]Department of Science and Environment, Roskilde University, 4000 Roskilde, Denmark *

November 1, 2016

**Abstract**

Let $\mu$ be a probability measure with non-polar compact support $S(\mu) \subset \mathbb{C}$. In this paper, we relate dynamical properties of the sequence of orthonormal polynomials $\{P_n(\mu; z)\}$ to properties of $S(\mu)$. More precisely, we relate the dynamical entities Julia sets $J_n$, filled Julia sets $K_n$, and Green's functions $g_n$ of the polynomials $P_n$ to the

*The authors would like to thank the Danish Council for Independent Research | Natural Sciences for support via the grant DFF – 4181-00502. The last author would also like to thank the Institute of Mathematical Sciences of Stony Brook University for support and hosting during the last writings of the paper.

outer boundary $J$ of $S(\mu)$, the filled or polynomial convex hull $K$ of $S(\mu)$, and the Green's function $g_\Omega$ for the unbounded connected component $\Omega = \mathbb{C} \setminus K$ of $S(\mu)$.

# 1 Introduction and general results

In holomorphic dynamics, one studies the dynamical properties of, e.g., a polynomial $P\colon \mathbb{C} \longrightarrow \mathbb{C}$. It is of central interest to understand the properties of the locus of non-normality $J(P)$, which is the boundary of the non-escaping set $K(P)$. In this paper, we study sequences of orthonormal polynomials $\{P_n(\mu; z)\}$ given by Borel probability measures $\mu$ on $\mathbb{C}$ with infinite, but compact support $S(\mu)$. We relate $J(P_n)$, $K(P_n)$, and the associated Green's function $g_n$ to $S(\mu)$, getting a fairly complete picture of the limiting behavior of these objects as $n \to \infty$.

We build on the classical monograph [S–T] of Stahl and Totik, where the authors relate potential and measure theoretic properties of, e.g., the asymptotic zero distribution for the sequence of orthonormal polynomials defined by $\mu$ to the potential and measure theoretic properties of its support.

Recall that $\{P_n(\mu; z)\}$ is the unique orthonormal sequence in $L^2(\mu)$ with

$$P_n(z) := P_n(\mu; z) = \gamma_n z^n + \text{ lower order terms}, \tag{1.1}$$

where $\gamma_n > 0$. Equivalently, $P_n(z)$ is the unique normalized polynomial of the form (1.1) which is orthogonal to all lower degree polynomials.

For $S \subset \mathbb{C}$ a compact non-polar subset, such as $S(\mu)$ above, we denote by $\Omega = \Omega(S)$ the unbounded connected component of $\mathbb{C} \setminus S$, by $K = K(S) := \mathbb{C} \setminus \Omega$ the *filled-in* or just filled $S$, and by $J = J(S) := \partial K = \partial\Omega \subset S$ the outer boundary of $S$. We shall also say that $S$ is *full* if $\mathbb{C} \setminus S$ has no bounded connected components.

Furthermore, we define the exceptional subset $E \subset S$ by

$$E = E(S) := \{z \in J \mid z \text{ is } \textit{not} \text{ a Dirichlet regular boundary point}\}. \tag{1.2}$$

The set $E$ is an $F_\sigma$ polar subset, [R, Th. 4.2.4–4.2.5]. We let $g_\Omega\colon \mathbb{C} \longrightarrow [0, \infty)$ be the Green's function for $\Omega$ with pole at infinity (in short, just the Green's function for $\Omega$). This is the unique non-negative subharmonic function which is harmonic and positive on $\Omega$, zero precisely on $K \setminus E$, and which satisfies

$$g_\Omega(z) = \log|z| + \mathcal{O}(1) \text{ at infinity}. \tag{1.3}$$

Finally, we denote by $\omega_J$ the equilibrium measure on $J$, which equals harmonic measure on $\Omega$ from $\infty$ and which is the distributional Laplacian $\triangle g_\Omega$ of the Green's function $g_\Omega$.

The bounds in [S–T] also involve the extended notion of the Green's function $g_B\colon \mathbb{C} \longrightarrow [0,\infty)$ for an arbitrary connected Borel set $B \subset \mathbb{C}$ with bounded, non-polar complement $L$. This is the unique non-negative subharmonic function which is harmonic and positive on the interior of $B$, satisfies

$$g_B(z) = \log|z| - \log \operatorname{Cap}(L) + o(1) \ \text{ at infinity}, \tag{1.4}$$

and equals zero qu. e. on $\mathbb{C} \setminus B$. Here, qu. e. is short for quasi everywhere meaning except on a set of zero capacity. For comparison, [R] uses n. e. (nearly everywhere).

**Definition 1.1.** *We denote by $\mathcal{B}$ the set of Borel probability measure on $\mathbb{C}$ with compact non-polar support.*

Throughout, we shall use all of the above notation in conjunction with

**Notation 1.** *For $\mu \in \mathcal{B}$, we denote by $g_\mu\colon \mathbb{C} \longrightarrow [0,\infty)$ the minimal carrier Green's function for $\mu$,*

$$g_\mu(z) = \log|z| - \log(c_\mu) + \mathrm{o}(1), \tag{1.5}$$

*where $c_\mu$ is the minimal carrier capacity [S–T, (1.3) p. 2, Lemma 1.2.4 p. 9]. Moreover, we denote by $E_\mu$ the exceptional set for $g_\mu$ defined by*

$$E_\mu := \{z \in S(\mu) \,|\, g_\mu(z) > 0\}. \tag{1.6}$$

We shall invoke the following two theorems from [S–T], recast in this notation.

**[S–T]-Theorem 1** ([S–T, Lemma 1.1.3, first proven by Fejér [F]])**.** *If $\mu \in \mathcal{B}$, then all zeros of the orthonormal polynomials $P_n(z)$, $n \in \mathbb{N}$, are contained in the convex hull $\mathrm{Co}(K)$. Moreover, for any compact subset $V \subseteq \Omega$ the number of zeros of $P_n(z)$, $n \in \mathbb{N}$, in $V$ is bounded as $n \to \infty$.*

**[S–T]-Theorem 2** ([S–T, Th. 1.1.4])**.** *For any $\mu \in \mathcal{B}$, we have*

$$\limsup_{n\to\infty} |P_n(z)|^{\frac{1}{n}} \leq e^{\,g_\mu(z)} \tag{1.7}$$

*locally uniformly in* $\mathbb{C}$ *and*

$$\liminf_{n\to\infty} |P_n(z)|^{\frac{1}{n}} \geq e^{\,g_\Omega(z)} \tag{1.8}$$

*locally uniformly in* $\mathbb{C} \setminus \mathrm{Co}(K)$. *In* $\mathrm{Co}(K) \cap \Omega$, *the lower bound* (1.8) *holds true only in capacity, that is, for every compact set* $V \subseteq \Omega$ *and every* $\varepsilon > 0$, *we have*

$$\lim_{n\to\infty} \mathrm{Cap}(\{z \in V \,|\, |P_n(z)|^{\frac{1}{n}} < e^{\,g_\Omega(z)} - \varepsilon\}) = 0. \tag{1.9}$$

*In* $\mathrm{Co}(K) \cap \Omega$, *the lower bound can also be given in the following form: For every infinite subsequence* $N \subseteq \mathbb{N}$, *we have*

$$\limsup_{n\to\infty,\, n\in N} |P_n(z)|^{\frac{1}{n}} \geq e^{\,g_\Omega(z)} \quad \textit{qu. e. in} \quad \Omega \cap \mathrm{Co}(K) \tag{1.10}$$

*and on the boundary* $J$ *of* $K$, *we have*

$$\limsup_{n\to\infty,\, n\in N} |P_n(z)|^{\frac{1}{n}} \geq 1 \quad \textit{qu. e. on} \quad J = \partial\Omega. \tag{1.11}$$

The meaning of *locally uniformly* for lim sup, lim inf, and lim as in, e.g., (1.8) is as follows: For a sequence of functions $f_n \colon U \longrightarrow \mathbb{C}$ and a function $f \colon U \longrightarrow \mathbb{C}$, we write

$$\limsup_{n\to\infty} f_n(z) \leq f(z), \quad \liminf_{n\to\infty} f_n(z) \geq f(z), \quad \text{or} \quad \lim_{n\to\infty} f_n(z) = f(z)$$

if and only if for every $z \in U$ and every sequence $\{z_n\} \subset U$ converging to $z$, the respective statements holds, e.g.,

$$\liminf_{n\to\infty} f_n(z_n) \geq f(z).$$

This is weaker than uniform convergence on every compact subset of $U$. But one easily checks that if $f$ is respectively lower semi-continuous, upper semi-continuous, or continuous on some compact subset $K \subset U$, then locally uniform convergences implies uniform convergence on $K$.

Our results mainly concern measures $\mu$ in the following rather large subclass of $\mathcal{B}$. Here, large means that only the subset of measures in $\mathcal{B}$ with zero carrier capacity are left out.

**Definition 1.2.** *Let* $\mathcal{B}_+ \subset \mathcal{B}$ *be the subset defined by*

$$\mathcal{B}_+ := \{\mu \in \mathcal{B} \,|\, \limsup_{n\to\infty} \gamma_n^{1/n} < \infty\}. \tag{1.12}$$

The main content of our results is that for $\mu \in \mathcal{B}_+$, we can essentially replace the sequence of sets of zeros of $P_n(z)$ with the sequence of filled Julia sets in the above theorems. Before the precise statement, more notation is needed.

**Notation 2.** *For $\mu \in \mathcal{B}$ and $\{P_n(z)\}$ the associated orthonormal polynomials, we denote by $\Omega_n$ the attracted basin of $\infty$ for $P_n$, by $K_n = \mathbb{C} \setminus \Omega_n$ and $J_n = \partial K_n = \partial \Omega_n$, respectively the filled Julia set and the Julia set of $P_n$, by $g_n$ the Green's function with pole at $\infty$ for $\Omega_n$, and by $\omega_n$ the equilibrium measure for $J_n$.*

**Theorem A.** *If $\mu \in \mathcal{B}_+$, then*

$$\left| \frac{1}{n} \log^+ |P_n(z)| - g_n(z) \right| \underset{n \to \infty}{\longrightarrow} 0 \tag{1.13}$$

*uniformly in $\mathbb{C}$.*

This is the main technical result underlying the following theorems. It links the Green's functions $g_n$ for $\Omega_n$ to the potentials $\frac{1}{n} \log |P_n(z)|$ or rather to the Green's function $\frac{1}{n} \log^+ |P_n(z)|$ of the set $\{z \,|\, |P_n(z)| > 1\}$ (here and elsewhere $\log^+$ is the postive part of log).

The literature on orthogonal polynomials, and [S–T] in particular, does not seem to study the latter Green's function in connection with orthogonal polynomials, though this restriction of $\frac{1}{n} \log |P_n(z)|$ is quite natural. For instance, the equilibrium measure $\triangle(\frac{1}{n} \log^+ |P_n(z)|)$ on $\{z \,|\, |P_n(z)| = 1\}$ is the balayage in $\{z \,|\, |P_n(z)| < 1\}$ of the purely atomic measure $\triangle(\frac{1}{n} \log |P_n(z)|)$ with an atom of weight $\frac{1}{n}$ at each root of $P_n$ (counting multiplicities). The following theorem is an immediate corollary of Theorem A (proven in Section 2) and [S–T]-Theorem 2.

**Theorem B.** *For all $\mu \in \mathcal{B}_+$, we have*

$$\limsup_{n \to \infty} g_n(z) \leq g_\mu(z) \tag{1.14}$$

*locally uniformly in $\mathbb{C}$ and*

$$\liminf_{n \to \infty} g_n(z) \geq g_\Omega(z) \tag{1.15}$$

*locally uniformly in* $\mathbb{C} \setminus \mathrm{Co}(K)$. *In* $\mathrm{Co}(K) \cap \Omega$, *the lower bound* (1.15) *holds true only in capacity, that is, for every compact set* $V \subseteq \Omega$ *and every* $\varepsilon > 0$, *we have*

$$\lim_{n\to\infty} \mathrm{Cap}(\{z \in V | g_n(z) < g_\Omega(z) - \varepsilon\}) = 0. \tag{1.16}$$

*In* $\mathrm{Co}(K) \cap \Omega$, *the lower bound can also be given in the following form: For every infinite subsequence* $N \subseteq \mathbb{N}$, *we have*

$$\limsup_{n\to\infty,\, n\in N} g_n(z) \geq g_\Omega(z) \quad \textit{qu. e. in} \quad \mathrm{Co}(K). \tag{1.17}$$

Comparing this theorem with [S–T]-Theorem 2, we see that only the trivial counterpart $g_n \geq 0$ on $K$ of (1.11) is missing.

The following two theorems will be proved in Section 3. Both of them make use of the Hausdorff topology on the space of compact subsets of $\mathbb{C}$ (see Subsection 3.1. for details on this topology and the notions of lim inf and lim sup of sequences of compact sets). Theorem C should be compared with [S–T]-Theorem 1, while Theorem D does not have an immediate counterpart in the classical theory of orthogonal polynomials.

**Theorem C.** *For* $\mu \in \mathcal{B}_+$, *we have*

$$\limsup_{n\to\infty} K_n \subseteq \mathrm{Co}(K). \tag{1.18}$$

*Moreover, for any* $\epsilon > 0$ *and with* $V_\epsilon := \{z \in \mathbb{C} \,|\, g_\Omega(z) \geq \epsilon\}$,

$$\lim_{n\to\infty} \mathrm{Cap}(V_\epsilon \cap K_n) = 0. \tag{1.19}$$

**Theorem D.** *For any* $\mu \in \mathcal{B}_+$, *we have*

$$\overline{J \backslash E_\mu} \subseteq \liminf_{n\to\infty} J_n. \tag{1.20}$$

# 2 Polynomial dynamics and proofs of Theorem A and Theorem B

For $P(z) = \gamma z^d + \ldots$ a polynomial of degree $d > 1$, an easy computation shows there exists $R = R_P > 0$ such that for any $z$ with $|z| > R$ : $|P(z)| \geq 2|z|$.

Thus the orbit of such $z$ under iteration converges to $\infty$. We denote by $\Omega_P$ the basin of attraction for $\infty$ for $P$, that is,

$$\Omega_P := \{z \in \mathbb{C} \,|\, P^k(z) \underset{k\to\infty}{\longrightarrow} \infty\} = \bigcup_{k\geq 0} P^{-k}(\mathbb{C}\setminus\overline{\mathbb{D}(R)}), \tag{2.1}$$

where $P^k = \overbrace{P \circ P \circ \ldots \circ P}^{k \text{ times}}$. It follows immediately that $\Omega_P$ is open and completely invariant, that is, $P^{-1}(\Omega_P) = \Omega_P = P(\Omega_P)$. Denote by $K_P := \mathbb{C}\setminus\Omega_P \subseteq \overline{\mathbb{D}(R)}$ the filled Julia set for $P$ and by $J_P := \partial\Omega_P = \partial K_P$ the Julia set for $P$. Then $K_P$ and $J_P$ are compact and also completely invariant. Clearly, any periodic point (i.e., a solution of the equation $P^k(z) = z$ for some $k \in \mathbb{N}$) belongs to $K_P$, so that $K_P$ is non-empty. It follows from (2.1) that the filled Julia set $K_P$ can also be described as the nested intersection

$$K_P = \bigcap_{k\geq 0} P^{-k}(\overline{\mathbb{D}(R)}). \tag{2.2}$$

We denote by $g_P \colon \mathbb{C} \longrightarrow [0,\infty)$ the Green's function for $\Omega_P$ with pole at infinity. It follows from (2.2) that $g_P$ satisfies

$$g_P(z) = \lim_{k\to\infty} \frac{1}{d^k} \log^+(|P^k(z)|/R) = \lim_{k\to\infty} \frac{1}{d^k} \log^+ |P^k(z)|. \tag{2.3}$$

Thus $g_P$ vanishes precisely on $K_P$, that is, the exceptional set $E$ for $g_P$ is empty and hence every point in $J_P$ is a Dirichlet regular boundary point of $\Omega_P$. Moreover,

$$g_P(P(z)) = d \cdot g_P(z) \quad \text{and} \quad \operatorname{Cap}(K_P) = \frac{1}{|\gamma|^{\frac{1}{d-1}}}. \tag{2.4}$$

Recall that for $\mu \in \mathcal{B}$ and $P_n$ the associated orthonormal polynomials, we denote by $\Omega_n$, $J_n$, and $K_n$, respectively, the basin of attraction for $\infty$, the Julia set, and the filled Julia set of $P_n$. Moreover, $g_n$ denotes the Green's function for $\Omega_n$ and $\omega_n$ the equilibrium measure for $J_n$.

From the above formula for the capacity of the filled Julia set, we have

$$\frac{1}{\gamma_n^{\frac{1}{n-1}}} = \operatorname{Cap}(K_n). \tag{2.5}$$

As

$$\liminf_{n\to\infty} \gamma_n^{\frac{1}{n-1}} = \liminf_{n\to\infty} \gamma_n^{\frac{1}{n}} \quad \text{and} \quad \limsup_{n\to\infty} \gamma_n^{\frac{1}{n-1}} = \limsup_{n\to\infty} \gamma_n^{\frac{1}{n}}, \tag{2.6}$$

we immediately obtain, by combining with [S–T, Cor. 1.1.7, formula (1.13)],

**Proposition 2.1.** *For $\mu \in \mathcal{B}$, we have*

$$c_\mu \leq \liminf_{n\to\infty} \operatorname{Cap}(K_n) \leq \limsup_{n\to\infty} \operatorname{Cap}(K_n) \leq \operatorname{Cap}(K), \tag{2.7}$$

*where $c_\mu$ is the minimal carrier capacity.*

[S–T, Section 1.5] gives examples of measures which shows that all the inequalities in (2.7) can be strict. However, it turns out that for our purposes we only need the lower bound $0 < \liminf_{n\to\infty} \operatorname{Cap}(K_n)$, which is implied for $\mu \in \mathcal{B}_+$.

Furthermore, we have

**Proposition 2.2.** *Let $\mu \in \mathcal{B}$ and choose $R > 0$ so that $K \subset \mathbb{D}(R)$. Then there exists $N$ such that for all $n \geq N$:*

$$K_n \subset P_n^{-1}(\overline{\mathbb{D}(R)}) \subset \mathbb{D}(R). \tag{2.8}$$

*Proof.* By (1.8), we have

$$\liminf_{n\to\infty} \frac{1}{n} \log|P_n(z)| \geq g_\Omega(z)$$

locally uniformly on $\mathbb{C}\setminus \operatorname{Co}(K)$. Suppose that $K \subset \mathbb{D}(R)$. Then $C_R := \{z \,|\, |z| = R\}$ is a compact set disjoint from $K$ on which $g_\Omega$ is continuous. Let $\varepsilon := \inf\{g_\Omega(z) \,|\, |z| = R\} > 0$. Then by the above inequality and the compactness of $C_R \subset \Omega$, there exists $N$ such that

$$\forall\, n \geq N \;\forall\, z \in C_R : \quad \frac{1}{n} \log|P_n(z)| \geq \epsilon/2.$$

By increasing $N$ if necessary, we can suppose $\log(R) < N\varepsilon/2$. Then since the zeros of $P_n$ are contained in $\operatorname{Co}(K) \subset \mathbb{D}(R)$ by [S–T]-Theorem 1, the minimal modulus principle implies

$$\forall\, n \geq N : \quad P_n(\mathbb{C}\setminus\mathbb{D}(R)) \subset \mathbb{C}\setminus\overline{\mathbb{D}(R)}.$$

Thus, by (2.2),

$$\forall\, n \geq N : \quad K_n \subset P_n^{-1}(\overline{\mathbb{D}(R)}) \subset \mathbb{D}(R)$$

and this completes the proof. □

We are now in postion to prove Theorem A. By (2.5)–(2.6), we have

$$\mathcal{B}_+ = \{\mu \in \mathcal{B} \,|\, \liminf_{n\to\infty} \operatorname{Cap}(K_n) > 0\}. \tag{2.9}$$

Hence, we can reformulate the theorem as:

**Theorem 1.** *Let $\mu \in \mathcal{B}$ and suppose $\liminf_{n\to\infty} \operatorname{Cap}(K_n) > 0$. Then there exists $N \in \mathbb{N}$ and $M > 0$ such that*

$$\forall\, n \geq N: \quad \Big\| g_n(z) - \frac{1}{n}\log^+|P_n(z)| \Big\|_\infty \leq \frac{M}{n} \underset{n\to\infty}{\longrightarrow} 0. \tag{2.10}$$

*Proof.* Define $c := \liminf_{n\to\infty} \operatorname{Cap}(K_n) > 0$ and choose $R' > 1$ such that $K \subset \mathbb{D}(R')$. Further, let $R = 2R'$, $c' = c/2$ and choose $N$ so that

$$\forall\, n \geq N: \quad K_n \subset P_n^{-1}(\overline{\mathbb{D}(R')}) \subset \mathbb{D}(R') \quad \text{and} \quad R' \geq \operatorname{Cap}(K_n) > c'.$$

The Green's functions $g_n$ can be written as

$$g_n(z) = \log|z| - \log\operatorname{Cap}(K_n) + \int \log|1 - w/z|\,\mathrm{d}\omega_n(w).$$

Writing $M' := \max\{\log R', -\log c'\} + \log 2$ and $M = 3M'$, we find

$$\forall\, n \geq N\ \forall\, z, |z| \geq R: \quad \big|g_n(z) - \log|z|\big| < M'.$$

For each $n$, denote by $A_n$ the set $\{z \,|\, |P_n(z)| < R\}$. Then for each $n \geq N$ and all $z \in \mathbb{C}\setminus A_n$, we have $|P_n(z)| \geq R$ so that $\log^+|P_n(z)| = \log|P_n(z)|$ and

$$\left|g_n(z) - \frac{1}{n}\log^+|P_n(z)|\right| = \left|\frac{1}{n}g_n(P_n(z)) - \frac{1}{n}\log|P_n(z)|\right| \leq \frac{M'}{n}.$$

Moreover, for all $z \in \partial A_n$,

$$\begin{aligned} 0 < g_n(z) &= \frac{1}{n}\log|P_n(z)| + \left(g_n(z) - \frac{1}{n}\log|P_n(z)|\right) \\ &< \left|\frac{1}{n}\log|P_n(z)|\right| + \left|g_n(z) - \frac{1}{n}\log|P_n(z)|\right| < \frac{2M'}{n}. \end{aligned}$$

Hence, by the maximum principle for subharmonic functions, $g_n(z) < 2M'/n$ on all of $A_n$. As

$$0 \leq \frac{1}{n}\log^+|P_n(z)| \leq \frac{M'}{n}$$

on $A_n$ by construction, we have

$$\left| g_n(z) - \frac{1}{n} \log^+ |P_n(z)| \right| < \frac{3M'}{n} = \frac{M}{n}$$

on $A_n$ and thus on all of $\mathbb{C}$. □

*Remark* 2.3. If $\liminf_{k\to\infty} \operatorname{Cap}(K_{n_k}) > 0$ for some subsequence $n_k$, then the same proof shows that (2.10) holds for the subsequence $\{n_k\}_k$.

By (2.7), the hypothesis on the capacities is satisfied if the minimal carrier capacity is $> 0$. However, according to [S–T, Example 1.5.4], there are measures $\mu \in \mathcal{B}$ for which $0 = c_\mu < c = \liminf_{k\to\infty} \operatorname{Cap}(K_{n_k})$.

Combining Theorem A with with [S–T]-Theorem 2, we obtain

**Theorem B.** *For all $\mu \in \mathcal{B}_+$, we have*

$$\limsup_{n\to\infty} g_n(z) \le g_\mu(z) \tag{2.11}$$

*locally uniformly in $\mathbb{C}$ and*

$$\liminf_{n\to\infty} g_n(z) \ge g_\Omega(z) \tag{2.12}$$

*locally uniformly in $\mathbb{C} \setminus \operatorname{Co}(K)$. In $\operatorname{Co}(K) \cap \Omega$, the lower bound (2.12) holds true only in capacity, that is, for every compact set $V \subseteq \Omega$ and every $\varepsilon > 0$, we have*

$$\lim_{n\to\infty} \operatorname{Cap}(\{z \in V \,|\, g_n(z) < g_\Omega(z) - \varepsilon\}) = 0. \tag{2.13}$$

*In $\operatorname{Co}(K) \cap \Omega$, the lower bound can also be given in the following form: For every infinite subsequence $N \subseteq \mathbb{N}$, we have*

$$\limsup_{n\to\infty,\, n\in N} g_n(z) \ge g_\Omega(z) \quad \textit{qu.e. in} \quad \operatorname{Co}(K). \tag{2.14}$$

As with (1.7), the bound (2.11) holds for every $\mu \in \mathcal{B}$.

*Proof.* If $c_\mu = 0$, then $g_\mu \equiv \infty$ and (2.11) trivially holds. So (2.11), (2.12), and (2.14) are straightforward translations of (1.7), (1.8), and (1.10) by using Theorem A and noting that for any $\epsilon > 0$,

$$\frac{1}{n} \log |P_n(z)| \le g_\mu + \epsilon \implies \frac{1}{n} \log^+ |P_n(z)| \le g_\mu + \epsilon$$

since $g_\mu \geq 0$ and for every $z$, either $\log^+ |P_n(z)| = \log |P_n(z)|$ or $\log |P_n(z)| < 0$ and $\log^+ |P_n(z)| = 0 \leq g_\mu(z)$.

For (2.13), let $\epsilon > 0$ be given and choose according to Theorem A an $N$ such that

$$\forall n \geq N \; \forall z : \quad \left| g_n(z) - \frac{1}{n} \log^+ |P_n(z)| \right| < \epsilon/2.$$

Then for $n \geq N$, we have

$$g_n(z) + \epsilon \geq \frac{1}{n} \log^+ |P_n(z)| + \epsilon/2 \geq \frac{1}{n} \log |P_n(z)| + \epsilon/2$$

so that $g_n(z) < g_\Omega(z) - \epsilon$ implies $\frac{1}{n} \log |P_n(z)| < g_\Omega(z) - \epsilon/2$. Hence,

$$|P_n(z)|^{\frac{1}{n}} \leq \mathrm{e}^{g_\Omega(z) - \epsilon/2} = \mathrm{e}^{g_\Omega(z)} - (1 - \mathrm{e}^{-\epsilon/2})\mathrm{e}^{g_\Omega(z)} \leq \mathrm{e}^{g_\Omega(z)} - (1 - \mathrm{e}^{-\epsilon/2}),$$

recalling that $g_\Omega \geq 0$. Thus, with $\epsilon' := (1 - \mathrm{e}^{-\epsilon/2}) > 0$ and $V \subset \Omega$ a compact subset, we have

$$\{z \in V \,|\, g_n(z) < g_\Omega(z) - \epsilon\} \subseteq \{z \in V \,|\, |P_n(z)|^{\frac{1}{n}} < \mathrm{e}^{g_\Omega(z)} - \epsilon'\}$$

and (2.13) applies. □

# 3 Relating the sequences $\{K_n\}, \{J_n\}$ to $K$ and $J$.

This section is motivated by the following questions: What is the relation between $K$ and limits of $K_n$ and, similarly, what is the relation between $J$ and limits of $J_n$? To make sense of these questions, we must choose a notion of limits. We shall equip the space of non-empty compact subsets of $\mathbb{C}$ with the Hausdorff topology, which is the natural choice in dynamical systems (see also [D]).

## 3.1 The Hausdorff distance between compact subsets of $\mathbb{C}$.

Let $\mathcal{K}$ denote the set of non-empty compact subsets of $\mathbb{C}$. For $L, M \in \mathcal{K}$, we define the Hausdorff semi-distance from $L$ to $M$ by

$$\mathrm{d_H}(L, M) := \sup\{\mathrm{d}(z, M) \,|\, z \in L\} = \sup_{z \in L} \inf_{w \in M} |z - w| \tag{3.1}$$

and the Hausdorff distance between the two sets as

$$\mathrm{D_H}(L, M) := \max\{\mathrm{d_H}(L, M), \mathrm{d_H}(M, L)\}. \tag{3.2}$$

The Hausdorff distance is a metric on the space $\mathcal{K}$ of compact subsets. When $\{K_n\} \subset \mathcal{K}$ is a bounded sequence of compact sets (i.e., a sequence for which there exists $R > 0$ such that $K_n \subset \mathbb{D}(R)$ for all $n$), we define the symbols

$$\liminf_{n\to\infty} K_n := \{z \in \mathbb{C} \,|\, \exists\, \{z_n\},\, K_n \ni z_n \underset{n\to\infty}{\longrightarrow} z\}, \tag{3.3}$$

$$\limsup_{n\to\infty} K_n := \{z \in \mathbb{C} \,|\, \exists\, \{n_k\},\, n_k \nearrow \infty \text{ and } \exists\, \{z_{n_k}\},\, K_{n_k} \ni z_{n_k} \underset{k\to\infty}{\longrightarrow} z\}. \tag{3.4}$$

The sets $I := \liminf_{n\to\infty} K_n \subseteq \limsup_{n\to\infty} K_n =: S$ are compact (by Lemma 3.1). The set $I$ may be empty whereas $S$ is always non-empty. Moreover, just to illustrate that $(\mathcal{K}, \mathrm{D_H})$ is a nice metric space, let us remark that it can be shown that $I$ is either empty or it is the largest compact set for which

$$\lim_{n\to\infty} \mathrm{d_H}(I, K_n) = 0. \tag{3.5}$$

Likewise, $S$ is the smallest compact set for which

$$\lim_{n\to\infty} \mathrm{d_H}(K_n, S) = 0. \tag{3.6}$$

Thus, $I = S$ if and only the sequence $\{K_n\}$ is convergent to the common value $I = S$. If the sequence $\{K_n\}$ is Cauchy, then the equality $I = S$ easily follows which shows that $\mathcal{K}$ is a complete metric space. Also, the above statements serve to explain the names lim inf and lim sup. However, they will not be needed here. Instead, we shall use

**Lemma 3.1.** *The complements of* $I := \liminf_{n\to\infty} K_n$ *and* $S := \limsup_{n\to\infty} K_n$ *are open and characterized by*

$$z_0 \in \mathbb{C}\setminus I \iff \exists\, \delta_0 > 0\, \exists\, \{n_k\},\, n_k \nearrow \infty \text{ s.t. } \forall\, k:\, \mathrm{d}(z_0, K_{n_k}) > \delta_0 \tag{3.7}$$

*and*

$$z_0 \in \mathbb{C}\setminus S \iff \exists\, \delta_0 > 0\, \exists\, N \text{ s.t. } \forall n \geq N:\, \mathrm{d}(z_0, K_n) > \delta_0. \tag{3.8}$$

*As a consequence, both* $I$ *and* $S$ *are closed and hence compact.*

*Proof.* The first implication "$\Leftarrow$" is trivial. For the reverse implication, let $z_0 \in \mathbb{C}\backslash I$ be given and suppose the right hand side does not hold. Then

$$\forall \delta > 0 \; \exists \; N \text{ s.t. } \; \forall \; n \geq N : \; \mathrm{d}(z_0, K_n) \leq \delta.$$

For each $n$, let $z_n \in K_n$ be a point with $|z_n - z_0| = \mathrm{d}(z_0, K_n)$. Then $K_n \ni z_n \to z_0$ which contradicts the assumption $z_0 \notin I$.

The second implication "$\Leftarrow$" is also trivial. For the reverse implication, take an arbitrary $z_0 \in \mathbb{C}\backslash S$ and assume the right hand fails. Then for any $\delta > 0$ there are infinitely many values of $n$ for which $\mathrm{d}(z_0, K_n) \leq \delta$. Thus we may construct an increasing sequence $\{n_k\}$ of integers such that $\mathrm{d}(z_0, K_{n_k}) \leq 1/k$, say. Take as above, for each $k$, a point $z_k \in K_{n_k}$ with $|z_k - z_0| = \mathrm{d}(z_0, K_{n_k}) \leq 1/k$. The existence of the sequence $\{z_k\}$ contradicts the fact that $z_0 \notin S$. Openness of the two complements hence follows. $\square$

## 3.2 Nearly convergence of $K_n$ to $K$ and of $J_n$ to $J$

We are now ready to prove Theorem D.

**Theorem D.** *For any $\mu \in \mathcal{B}_+$, we have*

$$\overline{J \backslash E_\mu} \subseteq \liminf_{n\to\infty} J_n. \tag{3.9}$$

*Proof.* Since the right hand side is compact and hence closed, it suffices to prove that $J\backslash E_\mu \subseteq \liminf\limits_{n\to\infty} J_n$. Suppose to the contrary that there exists $z_0 \in J\backslash E_\mu$ which does *not* belong to $\liminf\limits_{n\to\infty} J_n$. Then $g_\mu(z_0) = 0$ and according to Lemma 3.1,

$$\exists \; \delta > 0 \; \exists \, \{n_k\}, \; n_k \nearrow \infty \text{ s.t. } \; \forall \; k : \; \mathbb{D}(z_0, \delta) \cap J_{n_k} = \emptyset. \tag{3.10}$$

Since $z_0 \in J$, there exists $w_0 \in \mathbb{D}(z_0, \delta/4) \cap \Omega$. Choose $r \leq \delta/4$ such that $\overline{\mathbb{D}(w_0, r)} \subset \Omega$. Let $2\epsilon = g_\Omega(w_0) > 0$ and define

$$L := \{w \in \overline{\mathbb{D}(w_0, r)} \mid g_\Omega(w) \geq 2\epsilon\}.$$

Let $L_0$ denote the connected component of $L$ containing $w_0$. Since $g_\Omega$ is subharmonic, it has no local maxima. It follows that $L_0 \subset \Omega \cap \overline{\mathbb{D}(z_0, \delta/2)}$ is a non-trivial compact continuum and hence $\mathrm{Cap}(L_0) > 0$. Thus, by (2.13) there exists $N$ such that

$$\forall \; k \geq N : \; \mathrm{Cap}(\{z \in L_0 | g_{n_k}(z) \leq g_\Omega(z) - \epsilon\}) < \mathrm{Cap}(L_0).$$

Since $g_\Omega(z) \geq 2\epsilon$ on $L_0$, it follows that

$$\forall\, k \geq N\ \exists\, z_k \in L_0 \text{ s.t. } g_{n_k}(z_k) \geq \epsilon.$$

Combining with (3.10), we find that $\mathbb{D}(z_0, \delta) \subset \Omega_{n_k}$ for $k \geq N$. By applying Harnacks inequality, we obtain

$$g_{n_k}(z_0) \geq g_{n_k}(z_k)\frac{1-\frac{1}{2}}{1+\frac{1}{2}} \geq \epsilon/3 > 0.$$

On the other hand, by (2.11),

$$\limsup_{k\to\infty} g_{n_k}(z_0) \leq g_\mu(z_0) = 0,$$

which is a contradiction. □

Theorem C now immediately follows.

**Theorem C.** *For $\mu \in \mathcal{B}_+$, we have*

$$\limsup_{n\to\infty} K_n \subseteq \mathrm{Co}(K). \tag{3.11}$$

*Moreover, for any $\epsilon > 0$ and with $V_\epsilon := \{z \in \mathbb{C} \,|\, g_\Omega(z) \geq \epsilon\}$,*

$$\lim_{n\to\infty} \mathrm{Cap}(V_\epsilon \cap K_n) = 0. \tag{3.12}$$

*Proof.* Since $z \in K_n$ if and only if $g_n(z) = 0$ and since $g_\Omega(z) > 0$ on $\Omega = \mathbb{C}\setminus K$, the inclusion

$$\limsup_{n\to\infty} K_n \subseteq \mathrm{Co}(K)$$

follows immediately from (2.12). Choose $R > 0$ so large that $K_n \subset \mathbb{D}(R)$ for all $n \geq 2$. Then we obtain from (2.13) that

$$\lim_{n\to\infty} \mathrm{Cap}(\{z \in V_\epsilon \cap \overline{\mathbb{D}(R)} \,|\, g_n(z) < g_\Omega(z) - \epsilon/2\}) = 0.$$

As $g_\Omega(z) \geq \epsilon$ on $V_\epsilon$ and $g_n(z) = 0$ on $K_n$, we deduce that

$$\lim_{n\to\infty} \mathrm{Cap}(V_\epsilon \cap K_n) = 0.$$

The proof is complete. □

Fig. 1 on page 16 illustrates Theorem C and Theorem D for $\mu$ the equilibrium measure for the boundary of the boomerang-shaped white set $K$ in the top left image. The black fractal sets in the other images are the Julia sets $J_n$ for $n = 10, 15$ and 20 (which in these cases appear to be equal to the filled Julia sets $K_n$). As is custom in holomorphic dynamics, the Green's functions are visualised by colouring alternating intervals of level sets blue and red. The green curve is the support of the measure (i.e., the outer boundary $J$ of $K$). Recall that equilibrium measures belong to a special class of measures, the so-called *regular measures* to be discussed in the following section.

# 4 Results for $n$-th root regular measures

In this section, we specialize the general results of the previous sections to the important class of regular measures. According to Stahl and Totik, a measure $\mu \in \mathcal{B}$ is $n$th-root regular, in short $\mu \in \mathrm{Reg}$, if

$$\lim_{n\to\infty} \tfrac{1}{n} \log |P_n(z)| = g_\Omega(z) \tag{4.1}$$

locally uniformly for $z \in \mathbb{C}\setminus \mathrm{Co}(K)$. In particular, we see that $\mathrm{Reg} \subset \mathcal{B}_+$. Note that (4.1) is equivalent to [S–T, Th. 3.2.1 formula (2.1)]

$$\limsup_{n\to\infty} |P_n(\mu; z)|^{1/n} \leq \mathrm{e}^{g_\Omega(z)} \tag{4.2}$$

locally uniformly in $\mathbb{C}$.

*Example* 4.1. A prime example of $\mu \in \mathrm{Reg}$ is the equilibrium measure for the boundary $J$ of a full compact non-polar subset $K$ or, equivalently, the harmonic measure on $\mathbb{C}\setminus K$ viewed from infinity. This follows immediately from Erdös-Turán's theorem, [S–T, Thm. 4.1.1.].

Combining (4.1)–(4.2) with Theorem A, we have as an immediate corollary

**Corollary 4.2.** *The following statements are equivalent:*

*i)* $\mu \in \mathrm{Reg}$,

*ii)* $\lim_{n\to\infty} g_n(z) = g_\Omega(z)$ *locally uniformly for* $z \in \mathbb{C}\setminus \mathrm{Co}(K)$,

*iii)* $\limsup_{n\to\infty} g_n(z) \leq g_\Omega(z)$ *locally uniformly on* $\mathbb{C}$,



Figure 1. Top left a Jordan curve bounding the white region $K$ followed by successive images of the filled Julia sets $K_{10}$, $K_{15}$ and $K_{20}$. The alternating blue and red areas indicate successive bands of levels for the Green's function for the complement of K and of the filled Julia sets respectively. As the degree of the polynomials are rather high, the coloring scheme of equipotentials is finer than the standard coloring scheme, which simply colors according to the number of iterations it takes for the center of the pixel to escape to a fixed large potential.

*iv)* $\mu \in \mathcal{B}_+$ *and* $\lim_{n\to\infty} g_n(z) = 0$ *for quasi every* $z \in J$.

Recycling the proof of Theorem D, but using *iii)* from Corollary 4.2 instead of (2.11), we obtain a stronger result (compare also with Theorem C).

**Theorem 4.3.** *Suppose $\mu \in \mathrm{Reg}$. Then*

$$\overline{J \setminus E} \subseteq \liminf_{n\to\infty} J_n, \tag{4.3}$$

*where $E$ denotes the ($F_\sigma$ and polar) exceptional set for the Green's function $g_\Omega$.*

**Corollary 4.4.** *If $\mu \in \mathrm{Reg}$ and $J$ is Dirichlet regular, then*

$$J \subseteq \liminf_{n\to\infty} J_n. \tag{4.4}$$

It has recently been shown that a general compact connected subset $K \subset \mathbb{C}$ can be approximated arbitrarily well in the Hausdorff topology by (filled)-Julia sets of polynomials, see Lindsay [Li] and Bishop and Pilgrim [B–K]. Our results, Theorem C, Theorem 4.3, and Corollary 4.4 as well as Proposition 4.5 on approximation of a general compact set $K \subset \mathbb{C}$ by the (filled)-Julia sets of the orthogonal polynomials for probability measures supported on $\partial K$ can be viewed as a complement to the results of [Li, B–K] in the connected case and an extension in the general case. At the same time, our results are statements about the dynamical behaviour of orthogonal polynomials.

**Proposition 4.5.** *If $\mu \in \mathrm{Reg}$ and $K = \mathrm{Co}(K)$, then*

$$J \subseteq \liminf_{n\to\infty} K_n \subseteq \limsup_{n\to\infty} K_n \subseteq K. \tag{4.5}$$

*Proof.* For a compact convex set $K$, every boundary point is Dirichlet regular. Moreover, $J_n \subset K_n$ so that the first inclusion follows from Corollary 4.4. The latter follows from Theorem C. □

**Corollary 4.6.** *For any compact convex subset $K$ and any $\epsilon > 0$, there exists a polynomial $P_n$ (of high degree $n$) with*

$$D(\partial K, K_n) < \epsilon \quad \textit{and} \quad D(K_n, K) < \epsilon. \tag{4.6}$$

This result complements the recent results of [Li] and [B–K].

*Example* 4.7. The following example shows that we cannot expect that

$$\limsup_{n\to\infty} K_n \subset K \tag{4.7}$$

for general non-convex sets $K$. Suppose $K \subset \mathbb{C}$ is any full compact subset of $\mathbb{C}$ with $K = -K$ (i.e., $z \mapsto -z$ is an involution of $K$) and let $\omega$ denote harmonic measure on $J = \partial K$. Then the orthonormal polynomials $P_n(\omega; z)$ are even for $n$ even and odd for $n$ odd. In particular, 0 is a fixed point of each $P_{2n+1}$, $n \geq 0$, and so $0 \in K_{2n+1}$. This implies that $0 \in \limsup_{n\to\infty} K_n$. However, we may choose $K$ as above with $0 \notin K$. Note that $K$ cannot be connected in this case.

# 5 The orthogonal polynomials for the measure of maximal entropy of a polynomial.

The literature contains other attempts to link the theory of general orthogonal polynomials and the theory of holomorphic dynamics. Let $J$ be the Julia set of a monic and centered polynomial $Q$ of degree $d \geq 2$. Theorem 5.1 below shows that the sequence $\{p_n\}$ of monic polynomials orthogonal with respect to the equilibrium measure $\omega$ for $J$ contains the iterates of $Q$. To be precise, $Q^n = p_{d^n}$. A natural question in this context is: Are the polynomials $p_k$ with $k$ not a power of $d$ dynamically related to $Q$? Since the measure $\omega$ is regular, the results of the previous section can be applied.

Let $Q$ be a monic polynomial of degree $d \geq 2$ and let $\omega$ denote the harmonic measure on $J = J_Q$ viewed from $\infty$. Then $\omega$ can be characterized in several dynamic ways:

1) as the equilibrium measure for $J$,

2) as the unique measure of maximal entropy for $Q$ (see [Ly]),

3) as the unique balanced invariant measure for $Q$ (see [B]).

Recall that invariant and balanced means that $\omega(Q^{-1}(V)) = \omega(V)$ for any measurable set $V$ and

$$\int_J f(z)\,\mathrm{d}\omega(z) = \frac{1}{d}\int_J \left(\sum_{w,\,Q(w)=z} f(w)\right)\mathrm{d}\omega(z) \tag{5.1}$$

for any measurable function $f\colon J \longrightarrow J$.

The following theorem furnishes relations between holomorphic dynamics and orthogonal polynomials.

**Theorem 5.1** ([B–G–H1]). *Let $Q(z) = z^d + az^{d-1} + \dots$ be a monic polynomial and let $\omega$ denote the unique measure of maximal entropy for $Q$. Then the monic orthogonal polynomials $\{p_n(\omega; z)\}$ satisfy*

1. $p_1(z) = z + a/d$,
2. $\forall k \in \mathbb{N} : p_{kd}(z) = p_k(Q(z))$,
3. $\forall k \in \mathbb{N} : p_{d^k}(z) = p_1(Q^k(z)) = Q^k(z) + a/d$.

*In particular, if $Q$ is centered (i.e., $a = 0$), then $p_{d^k} = Q^k$ for all $k \geq 0$.*

Since $\omega$ equals the equilibrium measure on $J$, we have $\omega \in \mathrm{Reg}$ so that

$$\lim_{n\to\infty} (1/\gamma_n)^{\frac{1}{n}} = \mathrm{Cap}(K_Q) = 1. \tag{5.2}$$

However, since $\omega$ is balanced under $Q$, more is true:

**Corollary 5.2.** *For any fixed $k \in \mathbb{N}$ and any $m \geq 0$,*

$$\gamma_{kd^m} = \Big( \int |p_{kd^m}|^2 \, \mathrm{d}\omega \Big)^{1/2} = \Big( \int |p_k|^2 \, \mathrm{d}\omega \Big)^{1/2} = \gamma_k. \tag{5.3}$$

In this context, it is natural to ask if the entire sequence $\log \gamma_n$ is bounded. As follows from results of Barnsley et al. (see, e.g., [B–G–H2, Appendix]), this is not the case in general. When $Q(z) = (z - \lambda)^2$ and $\lambda > 2$, one can show that

$$\gamma^2_{2^n - 1} \leq \frac{1}{\lambda(\lambda - 1)^n} \longrightarrow 0 \ \text{ as } n \to \infty. \tag{5.4}$$

If $Q$ is centered, it is also natural to ask if $p_n$ is asymptotically centered.

Recall that $J_n$ and $K_n$ are the Julia set, respectively filled Julia set, of the $n$-th *orthonormal* polynomial $P_n = \gamma_n p_n$. So the Green's function $g_Q$ for $K_Q$ vanishes precisely on $K_Q$ and the exceptional set $E = E_\omega$ is empty. Thus, we arrive at

**Corollary 5.3.** *Let $Q$ be a polynomial of degree $d \geq 2$ and let $\omega$ denote the measure of maximal entropy for $Q$. For $\{P_n(\omega; z)\}$ the orthonormal polynomials with Julia sets $J_n$ and filled Julia sets $K_n$, we have*

$$J_Q \subseteq \liminf_{n\to\infty} J_n \subseteq \limsup_{n\to\infty} K_n \subseteq \mathrm{Co}(K_Q). \tag{5.5}$$

*Moreover, for any $\epsilon > 0$ and $V_\epsilon := \{z \in \mathbb{C} \,|\, g_\Omega(z) \geq \epsilon\}$,*

$$\lim_{n\to\infty} \mathrm{Cap}(V_\epsilon \cap K_n) = 0. \tag{5.6}$$

# References


[B–K] C. J. Bishop and K. M. Pilgrim, *Dynamical dessins are dense* http://pages.iu.edu/∼pilgrim/Research/Papers/CJB-KMP.pdf

[B] H. Brolin, *Invariant sets under iteration of rational functions*, Arkiv för Math. Band 6 nr 6 (1965), pp. 103–144.

[B–G–H1] M. F. Barnsley, J. S. Geronimo, and A. N. Harrington, *Orthogonal polynomials associated with invariant measures on Julia sets.* Bulletin of the AMS (new series) Vol **7** No 2 1982, pp. 381–384.

[B–G–H2] M. F. Barnsley, J. S. Geronimo, and A. N. Harrington, *Geometry, electrostatic measure and orthogonal polynomials on Julia sets for polynomials.* Ergod. Th. & Dynam. Sys. **3** (1983), pp. 509–520.

[D] A. Douady, *Does a Julia set depend continuously on the polynomial?*, Complex dynamical systems (Cincinnati, OH, 1994) Proc. Sympos. Appl. Math., vol. 49, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 1994, pp. 91–138.

[F] L. Fejér, *Über die Lage der Nullstellen von Polynomen, die aus Minimumforderungen gewisser Art entspringen* Math. Ann. **85** (1922), 41–48.

[Li] K. A. Lindsay, *Shapes of polynomial Julia sets* Ergod. Th. & Dynam. Sys. **35** (2014), 1913–1924.

[Ly] M. Lyubich, *Entropy properties of rational endomorphisms of the Riemann sphere.* Ergod. Th. & Dynam. Sys. **3** (1983), pp. 351–385.

[S–T] H. Stahl and V. Totik, *General Orthogonal Polynomials*, Encyclopedia of Mathematics and its Applications, Cambridge University Press, 1992.

[R] T. Ransford, *Potential Theory in the Complex Plane* London Mathematical Society Student Texts 28, Cambridge Uinversity Press 1995.